

7-1-2012

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <http://elibrary.law.psu.edu/arbitrationlawreview>



Part of the [Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 4 (2012).

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Penn State Law eLibrary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Arbitration Law Review by an authorized editor of Penn State Law eLibrary. For more information, please contact ram6023@psu.edu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD <i>Nicholas V. Fox</i>	v
INTRODUCTION <i>Thomas E. Carbonneau</i>	vi
 <u>SYMPOSIUM:</u> U.S. ARBITRATION LAW IN THE WAKE OF AT&T MOBILITY V. CONCEPCION	
DEAN’S WELCOME <i>Dean Philip J. McConnaughay</i>	1
KEYNOTE ADDRESS <i>John D. Feerick</i>	3
CONCEPCION AND PREEMPTION UNDER THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT <i>Ian D. Mitchell & Richard A. Bales</i>	9
AT&T MOBILITY AND FAA OVER-PREEMPTION <i>Jill Gross</i>	25
AT&T MOBILITY V. CONCEPCION AND THE ANTIDISCRIMINATION THEORY OF FAA PREEMPTION <i>Hiro N. Aragaki</i>	39
ARBITRATION POST-AT&T MOBILITY V. CONCEPCION AT THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION - A SERVICE PROVIDER’S PERSPECTIVE <i>Sandra K. Partridge</i>	81
ARBITRATION INNUMERACY <i>Christopher R. Drahozal</i>	89
DOES AT&T MOBILITY LLC V. CONCEPCION JUSTIFY THE ARBITRATION FAIRNESS ACT? <i>Steven C. Bennett</i>	103
PURPOSE, PRECEDENT, AND POLITICS: WHY CONCEPCION COVERS LESS THAN YOU THINK <i>Michael A. Helfand</i>	126
THE FALLOUT FROM AT&T MOBILITY V. CONCEPCION: PARAMETERS ESTABLISHED BY THE INTERPRETATIONS OF LOWER COURTS <i>Terry F. Moritz</i>	146

PROFESSIONAL SUBMISSIONS

- BOOK REVIEW: LUCY REED, JAN PAULSSON, AND NIGEL BLACKABY, GUIDE TO ICSID ARBITRATION (2d ed., 2011).
Jack J. Coe, Jr. 158
- TRENDSETTERS: ASIA-PACIFIC JURISDICTIONS LEAD THE WAY IN DISPUTE RESOLUTION
Donald P. Arnavas & Dr. Robert Gaitskell, Q. C. 170

STUDENT SUBMISSIONS

Recent Developments in Arbitration and Mediation

- I AGREED TO WHAT?: PROTECTING CONSUMERS FROM UNFAIR PRACTICES IN BINDING CONSUMER ARBITRATION
Laura Magnotta 190
- AN ARBITRATION BODY FOR THE INTERNATIONAL SEOUL: KCAB'S NEW RULES
Alexander Wiker 198
- THE NEW FRENCH ARBITRATION LAW: ONE STEP FORWARD, TWO STEPS BACK?
Jesse Baez 204
- THE UNIFORM COLLABORATIVE LAW ACT: STATUTORY FRAMEWORK AND THE STRUGGLE FOR APPROVAL BY THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
Andrew J. Meyer 212
- THE PCA'S OPTIONAL RULES FOR ARBITRATION OF DISPUTES RELATING TO OUTER SPACE ACTIVITIES: BRINGING ARBITRATION TO INFINITY AND BEYOND
Jesse Baez 218
- THE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION ACT 2011: AUSTRALIA'S ATTEMPT AT ARBITRATION EMINENCE
Laura Magnotta 225

Comments

- The Battle Over Class Action: Second Circuit Holds That Class Action Waiver for Antitrust Actions Unenforceable Under the Federal Arbitration Act
Dustin Morgan 230
- THE NINTH CIRCUIT GRAPPLES WITH THE ARBITRABILITY AND UNCONSCIONABILITY OF MMWA CLAIMS
Amanda Miller 239

Comments – continued

ARE ARBITRATORS RIGHT EVEN WHEN THEY ARE WRONG?: SECOND CIRCUIT UPHOLDS ARBITRAL RULING ALLOWING IMPLICIT REFERENCE TO CLASS ARBITRATION <i>Dustin Morgan</i>	251
TENTH CIRCUIT AFFIRMS THE DISTRICT COURT’S ORIGINAL DECISION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION IN AN APPEAL MADE BY THE APPELLANT AFTER LOSING IN ARBITRATION <i>Skipper Dean</i>	259
SEVENTH CIRCUIT COMES TO ARBITRATOR’S DEFENSE IN CLARIFYING NARROW SCOPE OF ARBITRATOR ‘EVIDENT PARTIALITY’ UNDER SECTION 10 OF THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT <i>Mallary Willatt</i>	265
WHEN A WAIVER ISN’T REALLY A WAIVER: ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ESTABLISHES NEW STANDARD FOR WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ARBITRATE AFTER FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT <i>Dustin Morgan</i>	270

Articles

BETTING AGAINST THE HOUSE: CALIFORNIA AND NEVADA’S STAND AGAINST ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN HOME CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS <i>Devin Ryan</i>	277
OFF THE RESERVATION: NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES REASSERTING SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY TO TRUMP ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS <i>Devin Ryan</i>	286
FOR BETTER OR WORSE: SURVIVING DIVORCE THROUGH ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION <i>Teleicia J. Rose</i>	291
ARBITRATION AND THE MARCELLUS SHALE <i>Zach Morahan</i>	299
JUST A MATTER OF TIME: THE SECOND CIRCUIT RENDERS ANCILLARY STATE LAWS INAPPLICABLE BY AUTHORIZING ARBITRATORS TO DECIDE WHETHER A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CAN BAR ARBITRATION <i>Daivy P. E. Dambreville</i>	307

Foreign Decisional Law

UNFAIR PREJUDICE IN THE UNITED KINGDOM: AN INALIENABLE RIGHT FOR SHAREHOLDERS COMES TO AN END AS COURTS RESOLVE SPLIT BETWEEN EXETER AND VOCAM <i>Paul Jorgensen</i>	316
SECRETARIES ALWAYS GET A BAD REP: IDENTIFYING THE CONTROVERSY SURROUNDING ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARIES, CURRENT GUIDELINES, AND RECOMMENDATIONS <i>Courtney J. Restemayer</i>	328
UNITED STATES SUPPORTS IRANIAN ARBITRATION OVER PUBLIC POLICY AGAINST TRANSACTING WITH IRAN <i>Megan Hill</i>	343
THE MISAPPLICATION AND MISINTERPRETATION OF FORUM NON CONVENIENS <i>Mohita K. Anand</i>	357
THE 2012 INTERNATIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE RULES OF ARBITRATION: MEETING THE NEEDS OF THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION COMMUNITY IN THE 21 ST CENTURY <i>Meeran Ahn</i>	370

Book & Literature Review

MEDIATION IN POLITICAL CONFLICTS: SOFT POWER OR COUNTER CULTURE? <i>Michelle Polato</i>	383
FUNDAMENTALS OF LABOR ARBITRATION <i>Christen L. Rafuse</i>	397
MEDIATING INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION CASES: THE HAGUE CONVENTION <i>Michele Merritt</i>	409

Senior Editor Comments

A DECADE AND SOME CHANGE: A LOOK INTO THE NEW 2012 ICC RULES OF ARBITRATION <i>Linnea Ignatius</i>	420
MAKING THE WITHDRAWAL: THE EFFECT <i>AT&T MOBILITY V. CONCEPCION</i> WILL HAVE ON STATE LAWS SIMILAR TO CALIFORNIA'S <i>DISCOVER BANK</i> RULE <i>Zachary R. Brecheisen</i>	429