

Penn State Law

Penn State Law eLibrary

SJD Dissertations

9-2017

Arbitration of Intra-Corporate Disputes in Turkish Law

Aysel Cetinkaya Uyar

Follow this and additional works at: <https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd>



Part of the [Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Uyar, Aysel Cetinkaya, "Arbitration of Intra-Corporate Disputes in Turkish Law" (2017). *SJD Dissertations*. 11.

<https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd/11>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Penn State Law eLibrary. It has been accepted for inclusion in SJD Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Penn State Law eLibrary. For more information, please contact ram6023@psu.edu.

The Pennsylvania State University
School of Law

ARBITRATION OF INTRA-CORPORATE DISPUTES
IN TURKISH LAW

A Dissertation in Law
by
Aysel CETINKAYA UYAR

© 2017 Aysel CETINKAYA UYAR

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF JURIDICAL SCIENCE
(S.J.D.)

September 2017

Table of Contents

PART I. ARBITRABILITY IN TURKISH LAW

I. INTRODUCTION TO PART I: FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND LITERATURE REVIEW 15

A.	General Principles of Turkish Law: ‘Party Autonomy’	15
B.	The Concept of Arbitrability	19
C.	Arbitrability and Sources of Turkish Law	22

II. OBJECTIVE ARBITRABILITY UNDER TURKISH INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION LAW 23

A.	The Impact of Imperative Rules on Arbitrability	24
1.	The General Statutory Formula for Arbitrability of a Dispute	24
a)	Standards and Approaches for Establishing Whether a Dispute is Subject to the Will of the Parties	25
2.	The Specific Case of Inarbitrability: Immovable Property.....	29
3.	Other Disputes That are Inarbitrable Based on the General Formula.....	36
B.	Exclusive Judicial Jurisdictional Rules and Their Impact on the Arbitrability of a Dispute	39
1.	The Discussion on Inarbitrability Due to Explicit Regulations Requiring ‘Exclusive Judicial Jurisdiction’	40
a)	The Fact That the Criteria of Arbitrability Shall Be Determinative	50
2.	Legal Consequences of a ‘Court Order’ Requirement in a Statute.....	55
C.	The Issue of Public Policy and Relationship with Arbitrability in Turkish Law	61
1.	Regulations under Turkish Law	64
a)	Definition and Specifications of Public Policy in Turkish Law	67
b)	Characteristics and the Legal Nature of Public Policy	70
2.	Application of Public Policy in Turkish Law: Domestic and International Law Contrasted	71
a)	Domestic Public Policy under Turkish Law (Ordre Public National)	75
(1)	Issues Considered as Non-arbitrable due to Public Policy	81
b)	International Public Policy under Turkish Law (Ordre Public International)	91
3.	Final Review and Evaluation of Public Policy Notion in Turkish Law	100

PART II- ARBITRABILITY OF INTRA-CORPORATE DISPUTES IN TURKISH LAW

III. OBJECTIVE ARBITRABILITY OF INTRA-CORPORATE DISPUTES AND STATUTORY REGULATIONS 112

- A. Application of Arbitration to Corporate Law Disputes 112
- B. A Review of the Statutory Regulations for Arbitrating Intra-Corporate Disputes in Turkey 116
 - 1. An Overview of Current Regulations.....117
- C. Framework and Methodology of Corporate Disputes 120

IV. EXAMPLE OF DISPUTES ARISING FROM INTERNAL CORPORATE AFFAIRS: ANNULMENT OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTIONS 121

- A. Judicial Perspective: Examination of Case Law 123
- B. Statutory Perspective: Specific Regulations of Turkish Commercial Code 127
- C. Doctrinal Perspective: Assessments of Legal Commentators 132
 - 1. Doctrinal Arguments on the Inarbitrability of Disputes Arising from General Assembly Resolutions ...132
 - 2. Arguments on the Arbitrability of the Issue: A Synopsis.....140

V. COUNTER ARGUMENTS 142

- A. Compatibility with the Criteria of the Will of the Parties 142
 - 1. Essence of the Criteria: Dispositive Nature.....142
 - 2. Assessment of Settlement.....150
- B. Judicial Jurisdiction: Potential Exclusivity of the Rule and Involvement of Public Policy 153
 - 1. Jurisdictional Rules and the Extent of Their Effect in Turkish Law156
 - 2. Exclusive Judicial Rules and Arbitrability159
 - 3. Other Counter-Arguments Against Inarbitrability Based on Judicial Jurisdictional Rules165
- C. Impact of the Decision on Shareholders not a Party to the Action, and Third Parties 175
 - 1. Third Parties Who are Intra-Corporate Actors181
 - 2. Third Parties Other Than Intra-Corporate Actors.....191
- D. Vested Right Character and Its Consequences 201
- E. Imperative Provisions Principle in Turkish Commercial Code and Its Effect on Arbitration 205
 - 1. The Explicit Recognition Limitation of Article 340.....207
 - 2. Limitation of Article 340 on Subsidiary Provisions.....214
- F. Multiparty Issues and Procedural Regulations for Law favoring Court Adjudication: Suggestions for Arbitral Proceedings 216

1. Possible Issues in Multiparty Arbitration	219
2. Definition and Content of Multiparty Disputes and Related Concepts	220
3. Examination of Related Concepts and Their Applicability	221
a) Joinder of Parties	221
(1) Optional Joinder of Parties	222
(2) Mandatory Joinder of Parties	223
b) Intervention	224
(1) Primary Intervention	225
(2) Secondary Intervention	226
(3) Notice of the Proceeding	229
c) Consolidation of Cases	232
(1) Connection Between the Cases	233
(2) Consent of the Parties	235
d) Proposals for the Resolution of Multiparty Disputes Through Arbitration in Turkish Law	240
(1) General Explanations of Multiparty Arbitration Proceedings	240
(2) Explanations of Intra-Corporate Dispute Settlement in Specific and Conclusion	245

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY 262

VII. APPENDICES 293