

2 Case no. 2011-20059-svk  
3 ARCHDIOCESE OF MILWAUKEE **Objection to Plan and Distribution**

4 Vs Chapter 11 10 22, 2015

5 **P-9 Steven R Schmidt pro se**

- 
- 6 **1. Objection to Debtor's Control of the proposed financial Distribution of funds.**  
7 **2. Objection to Debtor's Victim Claim Classifications and Control of Victim Claims**  
8 **3. Objection to Debtor's proposed financial distribution of funds.**  
9 **4. Objection to Debtors Plan distribution of unused victim funds to non profits.**
- 

11 **Dear Honorable Judge Susan V. Kelly,**

12 I Object to Debtor's Bankruptcy PLAN classifications disqualifying the Victims claims.

13 I Steven R Schmidt Claimant #P-9 did not receive timely disclosures from this Debtor:

14 a) I first received disclosure on October 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 by email for the Debtor: Allocation  
15 Protocol for Abuse Survivor Claims and an FCR Claims Filed in the Chapter 11 Case of  
16 the Archdiocese of Milwaukee: I object to finalizing the Debtors Motion to Accept.

17 b) On 10 06 2015 I received by mail this Debtors disclosure: Notice of (I) Approval of  
18 the Fourth amended disclosure statement, (II) Deadline for voting on amended plan,  
19 (III) Hearing to consider confirmation of amended plan, and (IV) Deadline for filing  
20 Objections to Confirmation of Amended Plan. I Object to Debtor's Motion to Accept.

21 Debtor's Bankruptcy case involves Criminal activities of Pedophile Priest' child predator  
22 sexual assault, rape, abuse & Debtors continued activity of fraud scheme cover-up to  
23 discredit Victim claim' evidence by this Archdiocese of Milwaukee Debtor and I Object to  
24 the Plan rewarding this Debtor's long term illegal activity & fraud cover-up by the Court  
25 giving control of victim funds & then to be funneled to Debtor's agendas as non profits.

26 The legal Court case law rulings that Debtor references as legal "basis" to this Court to  
27 approve this "Debtors Control" of Victim funds & Debtor's challenging of Victim Claims is  
28 invalid as the cases referenced have no Criminal sexual assaults committed by Debtors  
29 in those referenced cases or any long term fraud cover-up to discredit Victim claims.

30 I site 12 other "Chapter 11" Diocese Court cases, & documents referenced on website:  
31 <http://www.bishop-accountability.org/bankruptcy.htm> . I Object to Debtors Control to  
32 funnel unused victim funds to Debtor's non-profits by Debtor discrediting Victims claims.

1 I Object to Debtor's Control of "Proposed Debtor's Plan Distribution of victim funds"  
2 as within this Bankruptcy action debts of Criminal actions by the Debtor Milwaukee  
3 Archdiocese cannot be dismissed and there is no Statute of limitations forgiving or  
4 dismissing fraud cover-up schemes committed by criminal Debtor Milwaukee  
5 Archdiocese who has committed long term Priest rape sexual assault cover-up  
6 making the Milwaukee Archdiocese a criminal party to those criminal acts by this  
7 Debtors withholding of crucial victim evidence, designed to weaken the Victims  
8 evidence and thereby give Debtor's Control to limit victim compensation claims and  
9 thereby funneling so called unused funds to this Debtor's agendas as non profits.

10 I Object to this Debtor to control by assigning classes to each victims trauma  
11 evidence and put Victims through a reliving & reawakening of those horrific  
12 sexual traumas of rape and molestation that are too disgusting to publish, and I  
13 Object to each Victim to pay a \$400.00 Appeal Fee to appeal claims to this fraud  
14 committing Debtor Milwaukee Archdiocese for proof validity of victim's claims.  
15

16 I Object to the Court giving control to this Debtor to decide amounts to be given  
17 to each victim by Debtor's "point system" designed & governed by successes of  
18 fraud cover-up committed by this Criminal Debtor' Milwaukee Archdiocese in  
19 enabling of known pedophile priests by moving repeated Pedophile Priests  
20 Parrish to Parrish, all designed in a long term conspiracy cover-up of Priest  
21 Rapes and sexual assaults of children and alterboys.  
22

23 I Object to Debtors victim point system as there is an incentive for this Debtor to  
24 continue to cover-up & discredit victim evidence thereby stopping victim closure.  
25

26 I Object to distributing any unused victim funds to nonprofits which is a funneling  
27 to Debtors agendas which is a conflict of interest for victim justice, any unused  
28 victim funds must be evenly distributed to all the victims regardless of the so  
29 called assigned victim classes, as victim justice and closure will not be served if  
30 even one of the valid victims claims are denied compensation in this case.

1 **Victim evidence for victim assigned classes has been successfully covered-up by**  
2 **the Milwaukee Archdiocese; Victim claims are more likely than not in this case.**

3 I Object to Debtor Milwaukee Archdiocese' criminal illegal cover-up activities, as they  
4 are a criminal party to crimes as they continue to inhibit, withhold, cover-up disclosure of  
5 victim evidence to dispel victim compensation and then funnel victim funds to non profits

6 I site my case P-9 in which the Milwaukee Archdiocese just recently disclosed the  
7 Predator priest that raped me when I was a child alterboy. My P9 case was upgraded  
8 from a non paid class 10 to a class 8 to share in a paid settlement subject to Debtors  
9 point system and I Object to any Victim that had the courage to come forward and filed  
10 a sexual assault and/or Rape claim to be denied equal compensation & then to pay a  
11 \$400 appeal fee if the victims do not agree to the assessment of Debtors point system.

12 The criminal actions of this Creditor Milwaukee Archdiocese until just recently disclosing  
13 the name of the predator rapist Priest who committed the horrific acts upon me, that are  
14 too graphic to publish is evidence that the Milwaukee Archdiocese are continuing to be  
15 a party to the criminal rape that I endured at a Milwaukee Archdiocese church rectory.

16 It is horrific for me to relive these traumas; and to put all sexual assault victims through  
17 reliving the horrific abuse to satisfy a criminal fraud cover-up Debtor would be to put all  
18 Victims to reliving the moments of priest rape; and I had wanted to jump to my death.

19 There exists no Statute of limitations for fraud, forgiving or dismissing fraud cover-up of  
20 illegal activities on the part of any Debtor including Debtor Milwaukee Archdiocese who  
21 is party to the crime of rape sexual assault for allowing predator priests to be moved  
22 from parish to parish to enable and cover-up those horrific illegal activities of rape that  
23 are too graphic to be published in this motion and they are an accessory criminal party  
24 then to these crimes and should not be rewarded as such with control of Victim Claims.

25 I Motion the Honorable Susan V. Kelly control the distribution of Victim funds to be  
26 equally distributed among the sexual assault victims in this case and no funds be  
27 distributed to outside non profits and no victim be disqualified from sharing in the funds  
28 to give a partial closure for the horrific acts committed against the victims in this case.

1 Milwaukee Archdiocese continues to conceal victim evidence to fraudulently discredit  
2 Victim rights to compensation from this bankruptcy court and to hide assets, this Fraud  
3 cover-up is accessory crime of rape and child sexual abuse and it nullifies the statute of  
4 limitations. Archbishop Weakland enabled the Milwaukee Archdiocese Catholic priests  
5 to move about parish to parish raping and sexually assaulting children & altar boys, the  
6 Milwaukee Archdiocese covered-up a settlement they made between an adult willing  
7 participant and lover of Archbishop Weakland, according to this Diocese preaching's  
8 this shows ungodly patterns of deviate behavior in allowing sexual abuse by priests.

9 This archdiocese non-companionate response to deny victims of compensation that  
10 overwhelming evidence shows a pattern of fraud cover-up and that the victim claimants  
11 preponderance of evidence of child sexual rape and assault is more likely than not..

12 I Motion the Court to "unseal" and publish my P-9 case for public access so witnesses  
13 such as other Alter boys, classmates can come forth and testify to what they observed.  
14 There are similarities of what sexual abuse details of rape in my P-9 case that the public  
15 would know so other victims can prove their claims and patterns of deviate and horrific  
16 perverted acts of sexual rape abuse and agony will point to the more likely than not.


17 Fraud cover-ups have continued despite Courts holding the perpetrators accountable of  
18 sexual abuse including religious organizations that covered up sexual assaults and rape  
19 of child victims, they also have concealed assets and some are reassigned, Holy Hills'  
20 previous records of the past had stated it was part of the Milwaukee Archdiocese, they  
21 have fraudulently moved assets to conceal cover-up of their real worth. It is not all about  
22 money; closure must sting to send a strong message of accountability and future safety.

23 I was never notified of any objection to my rape P-9 victim claim until recently, in fact I  
24 was denied the use of the online Pacer system which is discrimination against disabled  
25 people, two violations of my right to equal access to court records and violations of my  
26 United States of America constitutional rights of equal access as a victim in this case.

27 Debtor Milwaukee Archdiocese responsible for the Milwaukee Parrish's moved accused  
28 priests Parrish to Parrish enabling repeated Sexual assault raping of child parishioners  
29 and their cover-up continues today, with no compassion. What would God do?

1           **Wherefore:**

- 2
- 3           1) I Object to Debtor's Control of the proposed financial Distribution of funds.
- 4           2) I Object to Debtor's Victim Claim Classifications and Control of Victim Claims.
- 5           3) I Object to Debtor's proposed financial distribution of funds.
- 6           4) I Object to Debtors Plan distribution of unused victim funds to non profits.
- 7           5) I Object to the Debtors Plan.
- 8           6) I Motion the Honorable Susan V. Kelly that she control the distribution of Victim
- 9           funds to be equally distributed among the sexual assault victims that came forth
- 10           with claims in this case and that no Victim Claim's be disqualified.
- 11           7) I Motion the Honorable Susan V. Kelly distribute any unused Victim funds to be
- 12           equally distributed among the sexual assault victims in this case regardless of
- 13           victim' case status and no victim funds be distributed to outside non profits.
- 14           8) I Motion the Court to equally distribute any so called "unused" balance of funds
- 15           equally to all three Victim classifications 8,9,10 regardless of status if the court
- 16           allows for separate classifications of the Victims claims.
- 17           9) I Motion the Court to unseal case P-9 and Publish case P-9.
- 18           10) I Motion the Court to limit Attorney fees in this case to 22% of the Victim funds.
- 19           11) I Motion the Court to order a Court listening session meeting for victims in this
- 20           case to be heard by the Court and to disclose voting issues and case details that
- 21           effect Victim claims to create some sort of partial justice victim trauma closure.
- 22



Subscribed & sworn to me on 10/22/2015

*Alicia Ramirez*

Notary Public Official

My commission expires 01/15/2018

27           **Sincerely,**

*Steven R. Schmidt*

28           **October 22, 2015**

29           **Creditor #P-9 Steven R. Schmidt 6142 S 27 Street, Milwaukee, WI 53221**

2 Case no. 2011-20059-svk

3 Re: ARCHDIOCESE OF MILWAUKEE

Proof of Service

4 Vs

Chapter 11

5 10 22, 2015

8 **Proof of Service for the Objection to Plan and Distribution...**


10 I Steven R Schmidt hand delivered to Federal Court drop Box 1 copy of the Objection to  
11 Debtors Plan and Distribution

12 I also mailed one certified copy to:

13 Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C

14 555 EAST WELLS STREET ~ SUITE 1900 ~

15 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202



16 Subscribed & sworn to me on 10/22/2015

17 *Alicia Ramirez*

18 Notary Public Official

19 My commission expires 01/15/2018

20 Sincerely,

21 *Steven R. Schmidt*

10 22, 2015

22 Creditor #P-9 Steven R. Schmidt 6142 S 27 Street, Milwaukee, WI 53221

UNITED STATES COURTS  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN  
FILED

OCT 22 2015

11:59 PM  
AFTER HOURS