

4-13-2016

# Corruption in International Arbitration

Inan Uluc  
iuu101@psu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd>

 Part of the [Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons](#), [International Law Commons](#), and the [Transnational Law Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Uluc, Inan, "Corruption in International Arbitration" (2016). *SJD Dissertations*. 1.  
<http://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd/1>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Penn State Law eLibrary. It has been accepted for inclusion in SJD Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Penn State Law eLibrary. For more information, please contact [ram6023@psu.edu](mailto:ram6023@psu.edu).

**CORRUPTION IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION**

**By**

**INAN ULUC**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the**

**requirements for the degree of**

**DOCTOR OF THE SCIENCE OF LAW**

**(S.J.D.)**

**at**

**THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY**

**SCHOOL OF LAW**

**(03/29/2016)**

## ABSTRACT

Corruption represents a great menace to national and international development. It jeopardizes democracy, human rights, and social justice. Consequently, corruption is vehemently abhorred and denounced by members of the international arbitration arena. Unfortunately, while these players purport repugnance towards corruption and do not condone corrupt acts, there has arisen a misplaced distrust of arbitral process as a proper dispute resolution system. Further, when amalgamating the inherent opaqueness of the arbitral process, its structure founded upon party autonomy, and the clear lack of authority for arbitrators to compel evidence, such distrust persists and encourages belief that arbitration is a venue where agreements vitiated by corruption find legitimization and enforcement. Within this hostile climate, issues of corruption proffer challenges to the arbitral system and impose, simultaneously, arduous tasks and great responsibility upon arbitrators.

Indisputably, corruption's involvement in arbitration is far from novel. Nonetheless, there remains a lack of uniformity among arbitral tribunals on how to tackle corruption. The core issues causing divergence include: (i) arbitrability and admissibility of corruption issues; (ii) the burden of proof and the standard of proof; (iii) *sua sponte* arbitrator investigation and inquiry into corruption; (iv) disclosing corruption to arbitral institutions and public authorities; (v) and proper judicial review of an arbitral award when the legality of the award is challenged on the basis of corruption.

This study delineates these controversial concerns and analyzes practical solutions within the context of theory and practice. Further, this study scrutinizes commercial and investment-treaty arbitration cases, national and international court judgments, international conventions, national statutes, plus, other materials exploring corruption and arbitration.

By referencing a wide collection of historic and contemporary sources, this study will aid practitioners and scholars interested in the ongoing interaction between corruption and arbitration.

## Table of Contents

<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>CHAPTER-I: CORRUPTION and ARBITRATION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Corruption As An Increasing Concern in International Arbitration .....	2
a) Corruption in Underlying Contract .....	6
i) Is the Main Contract Null and Void?.....	8
ii) Is the Arbitration Agreement Null and Void?.....	21
b) Corruption in the Arbitral Process .....	24
i) Corrupt Arbitrators:.....	29
ii) Corrupt Witnesses.....	57
iii) Fraud in the Arbitration Process .....	64
c) Challenges to Arbitral Awards On the Basis of Corruption .....	69
2. Closing Remarks For Chapter-I .....	88
<b>CHAPTER-II: ARBITRABILITY, SEPARABILITY and COMPETENCE- COMPETENCE DOCTRINES.....</b>	<b>92</b>
1. The Doctrine of Arbitrability .....	94
a) The Doctrine of Arbitrability .....	95
b) Arbitrability of Corruption.....	99
2. The Doctrine of Separability.....	109
a) The Doctrine of Separability.....	110
b) The Doctrine of Separability in the Face of Corruption Allegations.....	117
i) Importance of the Challenged Contract .....	117
ii) Offensiveness of Corruption Allegations.....	129
3. The Doctrine of Competence – Competence .....	137
a) The Doctrine of Competence – Competence (Kompetenz - Kompetenz) .....	138
b) The Competence – Competence Doctrine in the Face of Corruption Allegations	140

4. Closing Remarks for Chapter-II.....	146
<b>CHAPTER-III: EVIDENCE of CORRUPTION in INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION .....</b>	<b>150</b>
1. Proving Corruption in International Arbitration .....	151
A. General Information about the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof.....	152
B. General Approach to the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof in International Arbitration .....	155
a) Laws and Rules Applicable to the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof .....	158
b) The Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof in Arbitration Practice .....	160
i) The Heightened Standard of Proof.....	162
ii) Lower Standard of Proof .....	181
iii) Conviction Intimate (Inner Conviction) .....	186
C. Causation.....	206
2. Evidence in International Arbitration in the Face of Corruption.....	210
a) Applicable Rules of Evidence in International Arbitration .....	211
b) Evidentiary Materials.....	213
i) Documentary Evidence.....	213
ii) Fact Witnesses.....	217
iii) Expert Witnesses .....	222
c) Arbitrators' Discretionary Authority Regarding Admissibility and Assessment of Evidence .....	225
i) Admissibility and Assessment of Evidence Submitted by the Parties.....	225
ii) Admissibility and Assessment of Evidence Obtained in Parallel Proceedings	229
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-III .....	236
<b>CHAPTER-IV: POWERS and DUTIES of An ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL .....</b>	<b>239</b>
1. General Powers and Duties of Arbitrators .....	240
2. Arbitral Investigation of Corruption Allegations and Suspicions.....	244
a) Arbitrators: Servant of the Parties vs. Servant of the Truth.....	245

b) The Arbitrator’s Rights and Obligations to Probe Into Corruption <i>Sua Sponte: Ultra Vires / Ultra Petita v. International Public Policy</i> .....	252
c) Reporting Corruption to the Arbitral Institution and Public Authorities .....	265
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-IV .....	277
<b>CHAPTER-V: CORRUPTION, PUBLIC POLICY and the STANDARD of JUDICIAL REVIEW .....</b>	<b>280</b>
1. The Competing Policies: Public Policy Exception vs. Pro-Enforcement Policy ..	282
2. The Standard of the Judicial Review .....	304
a) Minimum Judicial Review.....	305
i) Minimum Judicial Review in Switzerland and the United States .....	306
(aa) Minimum Judicial Review in Switzerland.....	306
(bb)Minimum Judicial Review in the United States .....	317
ii) Exceptions to the Application of Minimum Judicial Review .....	323
b) Maximum Judicial Review .....	334
c) Contextual Judicial Review .....	358
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-V .....	370
<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>373</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>383</b>