Penn State Law Penn State Law eLibrary

SJD Dissertations

4-13-2016

Corruption in International Arbitration

Inan Uluc iuu101@psu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd

Part of the <u>Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons</u>, <u>International Law Commons</u>, and the <u>Transnational Law Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Uluc, Inan, "Corruption in International Arbitration" (2016). SJD Dissertations. 1. https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/sjd/1

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Penn State Law eLibrary. It has been accepted for inclusion in SJD Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Penn State Law eLibrary. For more information, please contact ram6023@psu.edu.

CORRUPTION IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

By

INAN ULUC

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF THE SCIENCE OF LAW

(S.J.D.)

at

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF LAW

(03/29/2016)

ABSTRACT

Corruption represents a great menace to national and international development. It jeopardizes democracy, human rights, and social justice.

Consequently, corruption is vehemently abhorred and denunciated by members of the international arbitration arena. Unfortunately, while these players purport repugnance towards corruption and do not condone corrupt acts, there has arisen a misplaced distrust of arbitral process as a proper dispute resolution system. Further, when amalgamating the inherent opaqueness of the arbitral process, its structure founded upon party autonomy, and the clear lack of authority for arbitrators to compel evidence, such distrust persists and encourages belief that arbitration is a venue where agreements vitiated by corruption find legitimization and enforcement. Within this hostile climate, issues of corruption proffer challenges to the arbitral system and impose, simultaneously, arduous tasks and great responsibility upon arbitrators.

Indisputably, corruption's involvement in arbitration is far from novel.

Nonetheless, there remains a lack of uniformity among arbitral tribunals on how to tackle corruption. The core issues causing divergence include: (*i*) arbitrability and admissibility of corruption issues; (*ii*) the burden of proof and the standard of proof; (*iii*) sua sponte arbitrator investigation and inquiry into corruption; (*iv*) disclosing corruption to arbitral institutions and public authorities; (*v*) and proper judicial review of an arbitral award when the legality of the award is challenged on the basis of corruption.

This study delineates these controversial concerns and analyzes practical solutions within the context of theory and practice. Further, this study scrutinizes commercial and investment-treaty arbitration cases, national and international court judgments, international conventions, national statutes, plus, other materials exploring corruption and arbitration.

By referencing a wide collection of historic and contemporary sources, this study will aid practitioners and scholars interested in the ongoing interaction between corruption and arbitration.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	vi
CHAPTER-I: CORRUPTION and ARBITRATION	1
1. Corruption As An Increasing Concern in International Arbitration	2
a) Corruption in Underlying Contract	6
i) Is the Main Contract Null and Void?	8
ii) Is the Arbitration Agreement Null and Void?	21
b) Corruption in the Arbitral Process	24
i) Corrupt Arbitrators:	29
ii) Corrupt Witnesses	57
iii) Fraud in the Arbitration Process	64
c) Challenges to Arbitral Awards On the Basis of Corruption	69
2. Closing Remarks For Chapter-I	88
CHAPTER-II: ARBITRABILITY, SEPARABILITY and COMPETENCE	CE-
COMPETENCE DOCTRINES	92
1. The Doctrine of Arbitrability	94
a) The Doctrine of Arbitrability	95
b) Arbitrability of Corruption	99
2. The Doctrine of Separability	109
a) The Doctrine of Separability	110
b) The Doctrine of Separability in the Face of Corruption Allegations	117
i) Importance of the Challenged Contract	117
ii) Offensiveness of Corruption Allegations	129
3. The Doctrine of Competence – Competence	137
a) The Doctrine of Competence – Competence (Kompetenz - Kompetenz)	138
b) The Competence – Competence Doctrine in the Face of Corruption Allegat	tions 140

4. Closing Remarks for Chapter-II	146
CHAPTER-III: EVIDENCE of CORRUPTION in INTERNATIONAL	
ARBITRATION	150
1. Proving Corruption in International Arbitration	151
A. General Information about the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof	152
B. General Approach to the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof in Internation	nal
Arbitration	155
a) Laws and Rules Applicable to the Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof	158
b) The Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof in Arbitration Practice	160
i) The Heightened Standard of Proof	162
ii) Lower Standard of Proof	181
iii) Conviction Intimate (Inner Conviction)	186
C. Causation	206
2. Evidence in International Arbitration in the Face of Corruption	210
a) Applicable Rules of Evidence in International Arbitration	211
b) Evidentiary Materials	213
i) Documentary Evidence	213
ii) Fact Witnesses	217
iii) Expert Witnesses	222
c) Arbitrators' Discretionary Authority Regarding Admissibility and Assessmen	nt of
Evidence	225
i) Admissibility and Assessment of Evidence Submitted by the Parties	225
ii) Admissibility and Assessment of Evidence Obtained in Parallel Proceedin	gs 229
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-III	236
CHAPTER-IV: POWERS and DUTIES of An ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL	239
General Powers and Duties of Arbitrators	
Arbitral Investigation of Corruption Allegations and Suspicions	
a) Arbitrators: Servant of the Parties vs. Servant of the Truth	

b) The Arbitrator's Rights and Obligations to Probe Into Corruption <i>Sua Sponte</i> :	
Ultra Vires / Ultra Petita v. International Public Policy	.252
c) Reporting Corruption to the Arbitral Institution and Public Authorities	.265
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-IV	.277
CHAPTER-V: CORRUPTION, PUBLIC POLICY and the STANDARD of	
JUDICIAL REVIEW	.280
1. The Competing Policies: Public Policy Exception vs. Pro-Enforcement Policy.	.282
2. The Standard of the Judicial Review	.304
a) Minimum Judicial Review	.305
i) Minimum Judicial Review in Switzerland and the United States	.306
(aa) Minimum Judicial Review in Switzerland	.306
(bb)Minimum Judicial Review in the United States	.317
ii) Exceptions to the Application of Minimum Judicial Review	.323
b) Maximum Judicial Review	.334
c) Contextual Judicial Review	.358
3. Closing Remarks for Chapter-V	.370
CONCLUSION	.373
BIBLIOGRAPHY	.383